TOF THE INOTHIEFTH DISTRICT OF CARRIOTHIA

[N]	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DANIEL VILLA JR.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 07-01436 WHA

v.

LINDA ROWE, JENNIFER SWINEY, and BHAWNA JAIN,

REMINDER NOTICE OF UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Defendants.

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on **JULY 5, 2011**, at **2:00 P.M.**, with a **JURY TRIAL** on **JULY 18, 2011**.

Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed
agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a
fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire
case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial
act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure
pending a formal dismissal.

Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts).

In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to use them:

- 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and closing argument).

Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference submissions.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE